

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/976,520	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HAYNES ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> John J. Zimmerman	<b>Art Unit</b> 1775	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** After Final

 (1) John J. Zimmerman.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Steven J. Soloman (Reg. No. 48,719).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 3 February 2004
**Time:** 4:30pm
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

3, 18 and new claims 51-53

Prior art documents discussed:

NA


**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was agreed to amend the claims according to the proposed amendment received by fax on 2/13/04 (attached). The amendment clarifies the antecedent basis for the separate copper/aluminum and copper/nickel embodiments depending from claim 3 and also solves multiple dependency issues.